

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,117		06/24/2003	Alan Y. Chow	3614/172	3614/172 3694	
23418	7590	08/09/2006		EXAMINER		
		AUFMAN & KAM	OROPEZA, FRANCES P			
222 N. LAS CHICAGO,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3766	•	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>r</i> ·			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/606,117	CHOW, ALAN Y.				
Office Action Su	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Frances P. Oropeza	3766	~ <del></del> -			
The MAILING DATE of to Period for Reply	his communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF  - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of  - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende	ROM THE MAILING DA er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. the maximum statutory period v d period for reply will, by statute, in three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timediately and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED and the of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on <u>5/24/</u>	06 (Election).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.							
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance wi	th the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pen	ding in the application.		·				
4a) Of the above claim(s	) <u>1-26,29 and 31-39</u> is	are withdrawn from consideration	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are all	owed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,28 <i>and</i> 30</u> is							
7) Claim(s) is/are ob							
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is object	ted to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2</u>	<u>4 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☒ objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request	that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	• •	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of	the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of	the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3. ☐ Copies of the cert	ified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
•••	ne International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
A4 - 4 4 - )		·					
Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)	2)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/10/04</u> .	(PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/ Restriction

1. Claims 1-26, 29 and 31-39 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/24/06.

The Applicant elected species VII and defined claims 1-10 and 23-26 reading on the elected species. The Examiner disagrees. Independent claims 1 and 23 claim a return electrode distant from the eyeball – a limitation associate with figure 11 and not with elected figure 15. The examiner finds claims 27, 28 and 30 read on elected figure 15, hence claims 1-26, 29 and 31-39 are withdrawn from further consideration.

The Applicant asserts in the arguments of 5/24/06 that a generic claim is presented. The Examiner disagrees. There is no claim that reads on all eleven species claimed by the Applicant, hence there is no claim deemed generic.

The Applicant asserts in the arguments of 5/24/06 that the restriction is improper as it is not burdensome for the Examiner to examine all submitted claims. The Examiner disagrees.

The application contains 11 disclosed species, and the burden to examine one species versus examining eleven species is significant, hence the restriction is deemed appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,117 Page 3

Art Unit: 3766

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 27, 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abreu (US 6423001). Abreu teaches a method for treating degenerative retinal disease by chronically applying at least one stimulating electrode to an external surface structure of an eyeball, applying at least one return electrode to a second external surface structure of the eyeball, and applying electrical stimulation to the eyeball via the stimulating electrode and the return electrode (figure 59C; col. 20 @ 30-45; col. 92 @ 23-45; col. 94 @ 66 col. 95 @ 4).

## Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed 3/10/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR

1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. None of the foreign patent documents and none of the non-patent literature documents were submitted. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file with the foreign patent documents and the non-patent literature documents lined through. The information referred to the foreign patent documents and the non-patent literature documents has not been considered.

## **Drawings**

- 5. The drawings are objected to because the Examiner is unable to find the reference numeral "70", "152", "159", "171" and "172" of figure 1 in the instant specification. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawing is required in reply to this Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawing will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. At least figure 13 is hand drawn. At the time of allowance, formal drawings are suggested.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fran Oropeza whose telephone number is (571) 272-4953.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communication and for After Final communications.

Frances P. Oropeza Patent Examiner Art Unit 3766

Robert E. Pezzuto
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3766